

## THE PROTECTION OF GAYS AND MUSLIMS REIGNS SUPREME

November 30, 2010

An openly homosexual soldier, angry with the "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" policy and allegedly hurt by a break-up with a boyfriend, has just leaked massive amounts of state secrets. By leaking those secrets he has risked the lives of fellow soldiers as well as contacts worldwide working to defeat America's enemies. Perfect timing, you would think to argue against the overturn of President's Bill Clinton's 1996 executive order which made it possible for gays to serve in the military if they simply kept their sexual preferences to themselves and the full repeal of the 1993 law banning gays in the military.

But not so. President Obama is adamant this policy is "unfair" and overturning it will somehow make us safer. Defense Secretary Robert Gates sees it with the same urgency, warning opponents like Senator John McCain that if they drag their feet on Congressional repeal, it will cause greater disruption. In Secretary Gates' world, the greater disruption to the safety and cohesion of our troops in time of war will come from those who would try to protect the troops from grand social experiments, rather than those who want to implement them during the fight.

But how do our military leaders who've been in the field feel about this proposal?

The Center for Military Readiness has gathered the signatures of over 1,163 distinguished retired military leaders from all branches of the service affirming their support for the 1993 congressional law banning homosexuals from service. The list includes two former Chairmen of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, several Service Chiefs, a number of combatant command, theater, and other major U.S. and allied force commanders, together with two Medal of Honor recipients and hundreds of retired flag and general officers "who have led the men and women of our armed services at every echelon, in both peace and war, past and present."

An Open Letter signed by these distinguished leaders expressed great concern that repeal of the law would undermine unit cohesion, discipline, and combat effectiveness:

"Our past experience as military leaders leads us to be greatly concerned about the impact of repeal [of the law] on morale, discipline, unit cohesion, and overall military readiness. We believe that imposing this burden on our men and women in uniform would undermine

recruiting and retention, impact leadership at all levels, have adverse effects on the willingness of parents who lend their sons and daughters to military service, and eventually break the All-Volunteer Force."

But Gates' ear is tuned to a Commander and Chief who has no knowledge of military matters. President Obama knows nothing about military strategy but is full of knowledge and enthusiasm on all things homosexual. He is an unabashed champion of "gay rights" and must now fulfill a campaign promise.

Spc. Bradley Manning entered the military under the "Don't Ask, Don't Tell" policy and yet we know he had openly declared himself gay on face book, linking to homosexual advocacy groups and displaying himself in photos protesting the military policy at Gay Pride parades. The London Telegraph reported he was considering a sex change operation. So Bradley Manning was able to commit his treason precisely because the military under Obama and Gates did not enforce the law. The consequences will be enormous as Manning perpetrated the greatest leak of national security in the nation's history.

And he leaked the documents BECAUSE he was gay. He was upset by the official policy and allegedly upset by a breakup with his lover. Did he leak them because he was emotionally unstable from hormone treatments? Hormones always precede cross-gender surgery. More than one airline pilot has been suspended in the past few years for the same reason; Erratic behavior...dangerous conduct in the cockpit as a result of hormone treatments to expedite sexreassignment.

We may never know about this, because no one seems to want to discuss his sexual proclivities. It is politically incorrect... professional anathema...and it has two strains.

Does anyone remember Dr. Nidal Hasan? The Ft. Hood shooter was an openly Islamic Jihadist. Writing...speaking...e-mailing his hateful venom while no one dared speak of it because Muslims are the other protected class. Better to let thirteen young soldiers be shot than risk ones' reputation by pointing out the obvious. His coworkers and military superiors were scared to display "Islamophobia" and make other Muslims "feel" bad. It is the same with Manning. Better to risk weakening the American military than to mention the current danger we find ourselves in was caused by a gay soldier. The safety of our nation be damned...the protection of gays and Muslims reign supreme.

The details of the case of Pfc. Manning should be shouted from the floor of the House and Senate and from the studios of every major talk show in the nation. But they won't be. Politicians and pundits are afraid. They are concerned they will offend producers and staffers who are gay. Better to allow men to die than be thought "homophobic." Inconceivable to most that you could respect and care for gay friends and acquaintances without the obligation to endorse this madness.

And then to provide further cover...there is the Pentagon "study." We are assured that seventy percent of those responding have little problem serving with homosexuals. But the question wasn't asked of the entire military...it was a survey. And the respondents weren't asked if they thought the policy should be changed, only how it should be implemented. "Focus groups" in the report assured us that this would be easy...and necessary.

I am convinced, aren't you?
There's no way a survey under the
Obama Administration or General
Gates would be skewed in any
way....no way the questions would
be asked in such a way as to get
the desired response...no way
there would have been any
engineering of the data...and
absolutely no motivation for
engineering it. Right?

An openly gay soldier has put the nation at risk BECAUSE he was

gay. Do we need a survey to tell us it isn't so? Do we trust a President who made a political promise he now must keep? A Secretary of Defense who ignores his commanders?

And what will we accomplish by this hasty move during a lame duck session? Will North Korea halt its aggression? Iran dismantle its nuclear weapons? Will China stop hacking defense and intelligence computers? Will a surge of courage coarse thru the veins of our soldiers in battle? Will their hearts guicken at the thought of a gay man joining them in the trenches...and in the showers? Will homosexual Americans line up in huge displays of patriotism to join or will it be the thrill of furthering their allconsuming quest to gain acceptance that drives them? And what will that all-consuming quest bring next to the ranks of the military?

A gay soldier betrays his country because he is gay at the same time the President wants to force the military to accept gays. One more tragic twist from this dangerous period of American History.